## Solid state organisation of C<sub>60</sub> by inclusion crystallisation with triptycenes<sup>†</sup>

## E. Marc Veen,<sup>a</sup> Peter M. Postma<sup>b</sup> Harry T. Jonkman,<sup>b</sup> Anthony L. Spek,<sup>c</sup> and Ben L. Feringa<sup>\*a</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Organic and Molecular Inorganic Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: b.l.feringa@chem.rug.nl
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Solid State Physics, Materials Sciences Center, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands,
- <sup>c</sup> Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 4th June 1999, Accepted 22nd July 1999

Triptycene and azatriptycene act as concave receptor molecules for  $C_{60}$ , resulting in the solid state organisation of  $C_{60}$  in a layered and a hexagonal pattern, respectively.

Fullerenes are of considerable interest in the design of novel materials as the strong electron acceptor properties associated with C<sub>60</sub> result in intriguing optical and semiconductor phenomena.1 The controlled organisation of C<sub>60</sub> in stacks or arrays by crystal engineering and host-guest chemistry plays a decisive role in these efforts.<sup>2,3</sup> The solid state structures of a number of inclusion complexes and supramolecular arrays of fullerenes have been reported in recent years.<sup>4,5</sup> An emerging structural feature in controlling the delicate host-guest interactions is geometrical complementarity of the globular C<sub>60</sub> guest and dish or saddle shaped host molecules.<sup>2,5,6</sup> Here we report a simple method to achieve the solid state organisation of  $\hat{C}_{60}$  by inclusion crystallisation with triptycene. In addition a change in organisation, by modification at a single position in the host molecule, as shown by X-ray analysis of the inclusion complexes was observed.

We envisioned that the combination of star-shaped triptycenes, with three concave faces, and  $C_{60}$  with its convex surface might lead to self-assembly as shown in Scheme 1.

The inclusion complex of  $C_{60}$  and triptycene **1** was obtained as dark red crystals from a hot *o*-xylene solution, by slow evaporation of part of the solvent over several days. NMR, IR and chromatographic analysis established an inclusion complex of  $C_{60}$ , triptycene and *o*-xylene. X-Ray structure analysis confirmed the composition in a 1:2:2 ratio respectively. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1(*a*).<sup>‡</sup>

The inclusion complex has centric [monoclinic space group P2(1)/c] symmetry in the solid state. The fullerene molecules pack in an approximate hexagonal close packed sphere pattern parallel to the *bc*-plane with translation vectors 9.9958(11) (= *b*-axis) and 10.1468(11) Å [=  $\frac{1}{2}(b+c)$ ].

The packing arrangement is shown in Fig. 2. Adjacent triptycenes stack in an antiparallel fashion generating two concave surfaces in opposite directions. Each  $C_{60}$  is capped by two molecules of **1** [Fig. 1(*a*)] and the closest distance between the two flanking arene groups of each triptycene (dihedral angle 120°) and  $C_{60}$  of approximately 3.27 ŧ is significantly shorter



<sup>†</sup> Colour versions of Figs. 1–3 are available from the RSC web site, see http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/1709/



Fig. 1 (a) Moleculer structure of  $C_{60}$  and triptycene (1) (o-xylene omitted for clarity). (b) Molecular structure of  $C_{60}$  and azatriptycene (2).

than those reported for calixarene and related complexes (3.51–3.62 Å). $^{6}$ 

The  $C_{60}$  molecules are arranged in sheets composed of onedimensional strands in the *bc*-plane to achieve a close packing of spheres in the sheets. The shortest  $C_{60} \cdots C_{60}$  separation of 3.08 Ŷ is close to that found in other linear strands.<sup>2</sup> Each sheet is separated by a double layer of antiparallel oriented triptycenes and *o*-xylenes with the latter filling voids between the



Fig. 2 Packing arrangement for the complex of  $C_{60}$ , *o*-xylene and triptycene.



Fig. 3 Packing arrangement for the complex of C<sub>60</sub> and azatriptycene.

triptycenes in this double layer. The nearest neighbour  $C_{60}$  molecules in two adjacent layers are 18.58 Å apart.

As the rigid concave triptycene has a favourable receptor shape to allow the formation of supramolecular arrays of  $C_{60}$  its molecular architecture might be suitable to change the assembly formation by modifying in the host–guest interactions. Introduction of a nitrogen atom at a bridgehead position, as is the case in azatriptycene **2**,<sup>8</sup> was envisioned to enhance additional electrostatic interactions in the host–guest system.

Slow evaporation of part of the solvent (*o*-xylene) from a hot solution of a 1:1 mixture of  $C_{60}$  and azatriptycene **2** resulted in dark red crystals of the inclusion complex suitable for X-ray analysis. The molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1(*b*).|| The hexagonal unit cell contains two  $C_{60}$  molecules and two azatriptycenes. The fullerene molecule is rotationally disordered but as each  $C_{60}$  is flanked by three molecules of **2** [Fig. 1(*b*)], whose positions are exactly determined in the crystal lattice, and as a result of the space group symmetry, the position of each fullerene is well defined.

The  $C_{60}$  molecules assemble in the hexagonal close packing pattern with each azatriptycene embracing three  $C_{60}$  molecules and each  $C_{60}$  embraced by three azatriptycene. Capping of a  $C_{60}$  molecule by three molecules of **2** results in six concave surfaces at the periphery of this ensemble which interact with a further six fullerene molecules leading to the anticipated arrangement in each layer.

The translation period in the *ab*-packing plane amounts to 13.464(1) Å (= *a*-axis). Layers are separated by 6.349(1) Å (= 1/2 c-axis). The closest C–C contact between both molecular species amounts to 3.40(2) Å.

The packing arrangement is shown in Fig. 3. The azatriptycenes are arranged in one-dimensional strands parallel to the *c* axis in a head-to-tail arrangement. The C<sub>9</sub> carbon and the central nitrogen atom of neighbouring azatriptycenes are at a distance of 3.76 Å. Each molecule of **2** in a single strand is rotated 60° with respect to its neighbour, and as a consequence each set of three concave faces in the hexagonal arrangement in a particular layer, due to a single molecule of **2**, is rotated 60° with respect to the next layer. The hexagonal packing of the C<sub>60</sub> spheres is evident if one realises that the 'pillars' of the azatriptycenes generate the voids in which a C<sub>60</sub> molecule in one layer is positioned exactly between six C<sub>60</sub> molecules in the two adjacent layers.

The shortest intermolecular  $C_{60}$  distance ( $C_{60}$  molecules in different layers) is approximately 2.97 Ŷ which is similar to that found in the inclusion complex of  $C_{60}$  and *p*-bromocalix-[4]arene propyl ether.<sup>2</sup> The centre–centre distance of the azatriptycenes in one layer is 13.464(1) Å. The remarkable propensity of triptycenes to change the self-assembly of  $C_{60}$  and the fascinating structures obtained in this way are intriguing in view of the design of new host–guest systems and materials based on fullerenes.\*\* Studies along these lines using functionalised triptycenes are in progress.

Financial support by the Dutch National Science Foundation (NWO) is gratefully acknowledged.

## Notes and references

‡ *Crystal data* for complex with 1: C<sub>60</sub>·2(C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>14</sub>)·2(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>10</sub>), M = 1441.54, dark red plate, space group P2(1)/c, a = 19.767(2), b = 9.9958(10), c = 17.661(2) Å,  $\beta = 109.882(10)^\circ$ , Z = 2, V = 3281.6(6) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 1.459$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.1$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å, T = 150 K,  $\theta_{max} = 25.3^\circ$ . A hemisphere of reflections (11766) was scanned and averaged [R(int) = 0.15] into a unique set of 5988 reflections [2080 of which with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]. The structure was solved by direct methods (SIR97) and refined on  $F^2$  using SHELXL97. Two sets of bond restraints were introduced in order to restrain 5-6 and 6-6 bond types. The restraints refined to 1.462(4) and 1.347(6) Å, respectively. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined riding on their carrier atoms. The displacement parameters for the C<sub>60</sub> atoms display the common flat disk characteristics. Convergence was reached at  $R_1 = 0.0947$ ,  $wR_2 = 0.261$ , S = 1.00, 5988 reflections, 527 parameters, 45 restraints,  $-0.43 < \Delta_r < 0.64$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>.

As a consequence of the dihedral angle of 120° in **1** and **2** the closest distance is with the C–C carbon atoms at the periphery of the (aza)-triptycenes.

 $\P$  Based on the mean atom-to-atom diameter of  $C_{60}$  of approximately 7.07 Å (ref. 7).

|| Crystal data for complex with 2:  $C_{60}$ · $C_{19}H_{13}N$ , M = 975.90, dark red block, space group *P*6(3)*mc* (no. 186), a = b = 13.4643(11), c = 12.6983(9) Å, Z = 2, V = 1993.6(3) Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_c = 1.626$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $\mu = 0.1$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å, T = 150 K,  $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ . A hemisphere of reflections (6587) was scanned and averaged ( $R_{int} = 0.069$ ) into a unique set of 909 reflections [711 of which with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ]. Three space groups are consistent with the observed systematic extinctions [P6(3)mc, P-62c] and P6(3)/mmc]. Interpretable (partial) results (with SHELXS97 and SIR97) were obtained in both P6(3)mc and its centrosymmetric counterpart P6(3)/ mmc. In both cases it was clear that the C60 moiety is severely orientationally disordered. The model presented here is based on the refinement (with SHELXL97) of a restrained  $C_{60}$  'order-model' in P6(3)mc. The restraint for 5-6 bonds refined to 1.480(8) Å and for 6-6 bonds to 1.290(14) Å. The unrestrained geometry of the azatriptycene is satisfactory along with the associated anisotropic displacement parameters. The anisotropic displacement parameters of the  $C_{60}$  atoms show the common flat disc shape (some non-positive definite). Hydrogen atoms were taken into account at calculated positions. Since the current refinement was stable  $(R_1$ = 0.0861, wR\_2 0.272, S = 1.05,  $-0.60 < \Delta_r < 0.65$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>) in the noncentrosymmetric symmetry space group description, no further attempts were made to refine a less attractive disorder model (for both moieties) required for the description in the related centrosymmetric space group. CCDC 182/1344. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/1999/1709/ for crystallographic data in .cif format.

\*\* The effects of  $C_{60}$ -triptycene interactions or kinetics of crystal packing on the solid state arrangement need further investigation.

- 1 P.-M. Allemand, A. Koch, F. Wudl, Y. Rubin, F. Diederich, M. M. Alvarez, S. J. Anz and R. L. Whetten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 1050.
- 2 L. J. Barbour, G. W. Orr and J. L. Atwood, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 1901 and references cited therein.
- 3 G. R. Desiraju, *Crystal Engineering: The Design of Organic Solids*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989.
- 4 J. L. Atwood, G. A. Koutsantonis, and C. L. Raston, Nature, 1994, 368, 229; M. F. Meidine, P. B. Hitchcock, H. W. Kroto, R. Taylor and D. R. M. Walton, *Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1534; R. M. Williams and J. W. Verhoeven, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1992, 111, 531; A. Ikeda, M. Yoshimura and S. Shinkai, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, 38, 2107; C. L. Raston, J. L. Atwood, P. J. Nichols and I. B. N. Sudria, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2615; N. S. Isaacs, P. J. Nichols, C. L. Raston, C. A. Sandova and D. J. Young, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 1839; T. Haino, M. Yanase and Y. Fukazawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, 36, 259.
- 5 C. L. Raston, in *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry* ed. J. L. Atwood, Pergamon, Oxford, 1996, ch. 22, p. 777.
- 6 O. Ermer, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1991, 74, 1339; T. Haino, M. Yanase and Y. Fukazawa, Tetrahedron Lett., 1997, 38, 3739; R. E. Douthwaite, M. L. H. Green, S. J. Heyes, M. J. Rosseinsky and J. F. C. Turner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1367; D. V. Konarev, E. F. Valeev, Y. L. Slovokhotov, Y. M. Shul'ga and R. N. Lyubovskaya, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1997, 442; B. Kräutler, T. Müller, J. Maynollo, K. Gruber, C. Kratky, P. Ochsenbein, D. Schwarzenbach and H.-B. Bürgi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1996, 35, 1204; P. D. Croucher, J. M. E. Marshall, P. J. Nichols and C. L. Raston, Chem. Commun., 1999, 193; K. Tsubaki, K. Tanaka, T. Kinoshita and K. Fuji, Chem. Commun., 1998, 895.
- 7 J. S. Lui, Y. Lu, M. M. Kappes and J. A. Ibers, *Science*, 1991, **254**, 408.
- 8 G. Wittig and G. Steinhoff, Anal. Chem., 1964, 21, 676; G. Wittig and G. Steinhoff, Angew. Chem., 1963, 75, 453.

Communication 9/04457D